Case: 1:07-cv-05418 Document #: 6 Filed: 10/01/07 Page 1 of 3 PageID #:164

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

WILLIAM F. WOOD, JR. et al.,)
Plaintiffs,	Civil Action No. 07 C 5418
v.) Honorable David H. Coar
CITY OF ELGIN,) Magistrate Judge Jeffrey Cole
Defendant.)

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

Defendant, City of Elgin ("City"), pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 12(b)(6), moves for dismissal of Plaintiffs' Complaint with prejudice.

In support of its Motion, the City states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs have filed a lawsuit alleging a violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"). 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq*. (the Complaint was filed in state court but timely removed by the City). A copy of the Complaint (without the consent forms) is attached to this motion.
- 2. Plaintiffs claim that the City has violated the FLSA by failing to pay them one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of 40 per week. Specifically, they claim that they "were required and did work 41.25 hours per week [.25 hours per day for a training period] for which they were paid at straight time hourly rates and not at the overtime rate of pay as to the 1.25 hours over the 40 hour week." Complt. ¶ 12.
- 3. Plaintiffs' claim is premised on a legally flawed understanding and application of the FLSA.
- 4. As expressly reflected in collective bargaining agreements between the City and the union which represents Plaintiffs for purposes of collective bargaining (the agreements are

Case: 1:07-cv-05418 Document #: 6 Filed: 10/01/07 Page 2 of 3 PageID #:165

expressly referenced in paragraph 11 of Plaintiffs' Complaint), the City has established a "work

period" between 7 and 28 days.

5. Pursuant to Section 7(k) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(k) and 29 C.F.R. §

553.230(b), such a "work period" allows the City to pay overtime compensation at a higher

threshold than 40 hours per week.

6. The collective bargaining agreements establish a "work period" not to exceed 14

days, which means that the City is obligated to pay overtime compensation only for hours

worked in excess of 86 hours per "work period." See 29 C.F.R. § 553.230. Thus, the premise of

Plaintiffs' Complaint -i.e., that the City must pay overtime compensation beginning at 40 hours

– is incorrect. Because there is no allegation that the City does not pay overtime compensation

for hours worked in excess of 86 per "work period" – and because there cannot be as the

collective bargaining agreements trigger overtime compensation at 41.25 hours per week – there

is no FLSA violation in Plaintiffs' Complaint.

7. For these reasons, Plaintiffs' Complaint fails as a matter of law.

RELIEF REQUESTED

The City requests that Plaintiffs' Complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

Date: October 1, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

CITY OF ELGIN

By s/James J. Powers

One of Its Attorneys

Thomas J. Piskorski

James J. Powers

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

131 South Dearborn Street

Suite 2400

Chicago, Illinois 60603

(312) 460-5000

-2-

Case: 1:07-cv-05418 Document #: 6 Filed: 10/01/07 Page 3 of 3 PageID #:166

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney certified that he caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint to be served upon the following individuals:

Craig S. Mielke Timothy D. O'Neill Foote, Meyers, Mielke & Flowers, LLC 28 South First Street, Suite 2 Geneva, Illinois 60134

by sending the same via electronic filing and in a postage-prepaid envelope in the U.S. Mail on this 1st day of October, 2007.

s/James J.	Powers_	
------------	---------	--